NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC. NEW HAMPSHIRE DIVISION NOVEMBER 2023 / OCTOBER 2024 ANNUAL PERIOD COST OF GAS ADJUSTMENT FILING

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF <u>DANIEL T. NAWAZELSKI</u>

1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your names and business address.
3	A.	My name is Daniel T. Nawazelski, and my business address is 6 Liberty Lane West,
4		Hampton, New Hampshire 03842.
5	Q.	Mr. Nawazelski, what is your position and what are your responsibilities?
6	A.	I am the Manager of Revenue Requirements for Unitil Service Corp. ("Unitil
7		Service") a subsidiary of Unitil Corporation that provides managerial, financial,
8		regulatory and engineering services to Unitil Corporation's utility subsidiaries
9		including Northern Utilities, Inc., which has operating divisions in New
10		Hampshire and Maine (the New Hampshire operating division is hereinafter
11		referred to as "Northern" or the "Company"). In this capacity I am responsible for
12		the preparation and presentation of distribution rate cases and in support of other
13		various regulatory proceedings.
14	Q.	Mr. Nawazelski, please describe your business and educational background.
15	A.	I began working for Unitil Service in June of 2012 as an Associate Financial
16		Analyst and have held various positions with increasing responsibilities leading to
17		my current role of Manager of Revenue Requirements. I earned a Bachelor of
18		Science degree in Business with a concentration in Finance and Operations

	am also currently pursuing my Masters in Business Administration at the
	University of New Hampshire.
Q.	Have you previously testified before the Commission or other regulatory
	agencies?
A.	Yes, I testified before this Commission on various financial, ratemaking and
	utility regulation matters. I have also testified in proceedings before the Maine
	Public Utilities Commission and the Massachusetts Department of Public
	Utilities.
II.	SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
II. Q.	SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY What is the purpose of your testimony?
Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony? The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Company's request for approval of
Q. A.	What is the purpose of your testimony? The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Company's request for approval of recovery of the increase in property taxes associated with HB 700.
Q. A. Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony? The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Company's request for approval of recovery of the increase in property taxes associated with HB 700. What did HB 700 allow for?
Q. A. Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony? The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Company's request for approval of recovery of the increase in property taxes associated with HB 700. What did HB 700 allow for? HB 700 established a methodology for valuing utility distribution assets for
Q. A. Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony? The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Company's request for approval of recovery of the increase in property taxes associated with HB 700. What did HB 700 allow for? HB 700 established a methodology for valuing utility distribution assets for property tax purposes, codified as RSA 72:8-d and -e. Part of that law established
Q. A. Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony? The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Company's request for approval of recovery of the increase in property taxes associated with HB 700. What did HB 700 allow for? HB 700 established a methodology for valuing utility distribution assets for property tax purposes, codified as RSA 72:8-d and -e. Part of that law established a new methodology for assessing utility property, and a five-year phase-in period
Q. A. Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony? The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Company's request for approval of recovery of the increase in property taxes associated with HB 700. What did HB 700 allow for? HB 700 established a methodology for valuing utility distribution assets for property tax purposes, codified as RSA 72:8-d and -e. Part of that law established a new methodology for assessing utility property, and a five-year phase-in period to fully transition to that new methodology. The first property tax year of the

1	Q.	Has the Company included recovery of the change in state related property
2		taxes?
3	A.	No. The Company has excluded the changes in the state related property taxes
4		from the recovery request consistent with the language of HB 700. Recovery of
5		the state portion of the property taxes will continue to occur as it does now as part
6		of the normal rate case process.
7	Q.	How has the Company calculated the increase in property taxes related to
8		local property taxes?
9	A.	The Company compared the amount of property tax recovery currently in rates to
10		the actual 2022 property tax expense.
11	Q.	How did the Company calculate the amount of property tax recovery
12		currently in rates?
13	A.	Attachment NUI-DTN-1, page 1, lines 1-3, provides the amount of property tax
14		recovery that was in rates from January 1, 2022 through August 31, 2022 per the
15		rate case settlement in Docket DG 21-104. The annual property tax recovery for
16		that respective period is \$5,523,332. This amount was further assigned to state
17		property tax recovery of \$1,370,478 and local property tax recovery of
18		\$4,152,854 ¹ .
19		Next, Attachment NUI-DTN-1, page 1, lines 4-7, provides the amount of property
20		tax recovery that was in rates from September 1, 2022 through December 31,

¹ Docket No. DG 21-104 Settlement Agreement Section 9.4

1		2022 per the rate case settlement in Docket DG 21-104 and the subsequent step
2		adjustment filing in Docket DG 22-020. The annual property tax recovery for that
3		respective period is \$5,579,610. This amount was further assigned to state
4		property tax recovery of \$1,426,756 and local property tax recovery of
5		\$4,152,854.
6		Finally, Attachment NUI-DTN-1, page 1, line 8, calculates the 2022 annual
7		property tax recovery level by reflecting eight months of recovery at the Docket
8		No. DG 21-104 recovery level and four months at the Docket Nos. DG 21-104
9		and DG 22-020 recovery level.
10	Q.	What was the property tax expense for 2022?
11	A.	As shown on line 9 of Attachment NUI-DTN-1, page 1, the total property tax
12		expense for the Company in 2022 was \$5,699,599 of which \$1,428,913 was for
13		state property taxes and \$4,270,686 was for local property taxes. Attachment
14		NUI-DTN-1, page 2, provides a summary of the local property tax bill detail by
15		town. Finally, Attachment NUI-DTN-2 provides the local property tax bills.
16	Q.	Were any abatements received in 2022 related to 2020-2022 property tax
17		bills?
18	A.	No.
19	Q.	How much higher was the 2022 local property tax expense than the amount
20		currently included in rates?

1	A.	As shown on Attachment NUI-DTN-1, page 1, line 11, the 2022 local property
2		tax expense was \$117,831 higher than the amount currently included in base
3		distribution rates.
4	Q.	Through what mechanism is the Company allowed recovery of the increase
5		in local property taxes?
6	A.	In Order No. 26,581 (February 15, 2022) in Docket No. DG 21-123, the
7		Commission approved the Company's proposed method for reconciliation of local
8		property taxes consistent with the authority in RSA 72:8-e as an element of the
9		Company's Local Delivery Adjustment Charge ("LDAC") through the Property
10		Tax Adjustment Mechanism ("PTAM").
11	Q.	Please provide a summary of the Company's request.
12	A.	The Company is requesting that the Commission approve the recovery of
13		\$117,831 of property taxes in 2022 related to the impacts of HB 700 through the
14		Company's PTAM. This represents a decrease of \$196,580 from the amount
15		approved in last year's PTAM of \$314,411. The settlement agreement in Docket
16		DG 21-104, section 9.7, provided that Excess Accumulated Deferred Income Tax
17		("ADIT") from 2018-2020 in the amount of \$515,202, shall be applied to offset
18		the Company's property tax deferral balance to be recovered through the PTAM.
19		In Docket DG 22-029, the Company offset recovery of 2021 property taxes as
20		well as the prior period reconciliation resulting in a total application of \$406,801
21		of the Excess ADIT balance, resulting in the approved recovery in the PTAM of
22		\$0 (zero). This left a remaining Excess ADIT balance of \$108,401 to offset future

PTAM recoveries. In the instant case the Company has applied \$106,072 of the
remaining Excess ADIT balance to the Company's proposal, resulting in a

proposed recovery in the PTAM of \$0 (zero). The remaining Excess ADIT

balance of \$2,329 will offset future PTAM recoveries. The calculation of the

proposed PTAM rate and prior period reconciliation is provided in the testimony
and attachments of S. Elena Demeris.

7 III.CONCLUSION

- 8 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 9 A. Yes, it does.